



Minutes for the KWIEC meeting conducted on March 30, 2010

Opening Remarks & Roll Call	2
Old Business	2
Discussion/Approval of the last meeting minutes	2
Updates and Briefings	2
Chairperson’s Report	2
General information for the KWIEC:	2
KWIEC mandates:	3
KWIEC Goals for 2009:	4
Issues & Concerns:	4
Public Safety Working Group Update:	5
New Business	7
P-25 Roadmap	7
D-Block Update	10
Open Discussion and Questions & Answers	12
Other Business	13
2010 Goals	14
Adjournment & Closing Remarks	15

These Meeting Minutes are not a “word-for-word” transcription of the event, and summaries and paraphrasing were used throughout this document.

{ PowerPoint presentations are available for download from the KWIEC website at www.kwiec.ky.gov. }

Opening Remarks & Roll Call

Jim Barnhart welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked Chuck Miller to call roll. The following members answered:

Jim Barnhart, Janet Lile proxy for Steve Rucker, Michael Harris - Conference Bridge; Don Pendleton, Col. Bates, Ken Jorette, Bob Stephens proxy for Col. Hayes, Mary Pederson, Lonnie Lawson - Conference Bridge, Pam Collins - Conference Bridge, Rebecca Hopkins, Wayne Wright, Mitch Mitchell - Conference Bridge, Charlie O'Neal - Conference Bridge

A quorum was met so Jim opened the meeting.

Old Business

Discussion/Approval of the last meeting minutes

Jim mentioned that everyone had received a copy of the last meeting minutes and asked if there were any questions? With there being none he called for a Vote to approve the minutes. The motion was seconded and unanimously approved.

With no other comments, Jim asked Chuck to present the Chairperson Report.

Updates and Briefings

Chairperson's Report

(Briefed by Chuck Miller)

General information for the KWIEC:

Chuck started by introducing a special guest; the honorable Mayor Caudwell was in attendance. Also Paul See is the newest member of the Public Safety Working Group.

- * As previously reported - the two year appointment cycle was up for those KWIEC members who were appointed by the Governor – Chuck called the Boards and Commissions and was told that they were working on it and unless relieved were asked to continue to serve. Chuck also reminded Board and Commissions that the KWIEC has asked that the 911 Director be reappointed as a voting member of the KWIEC.

KWIEC mandates:

1) Establish and implement a State Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP) –

- a) As of the last meeting we completed the SCIP review for 2010
- b) The SCIP was approved at the December meeting
- c) Those minor corrections identified were made.
- d) The approved SCIP has been posted to the KWIEC website.
- e) There is an additional OEC review due in July.

Chuck asked Derek to update the KWIEC on the review.

Derek Nesselrode: Their review is in July. We will need to make some minor adjustments to reflect the initial goals and objectives that we have met between now and then.

- f) **Report by Sep 15th - Annual Public Safety Report (APSR)** - Chuck reminded the group that the report was due in six months.

2) Evaluate Primary State and Local public Wireless safety voice and data

- a) The revised review process continues to work well
- b) Ten assessments submitted this year
 - i) seven completed
 - ii) one is pending for local
- c) Two state level assessments are in progress
 - i) Department of Corrections – pending Jim’s signature
 - ii) Department of Transportation - in process
- d) The Grant cycle is upon us, so this is going to jump over the next few months
- e) There is a new 2010 Project Assessment for local agencies (in packets). It was sent to KOHS, JAG, and the PSWG for review. We have made the revisions asked for and KOHS is sending them out with their packets this year.

We have already coordinated with the Kentucky Office of Homeland Security for time at four of their regional briefings and a Public Safety Working Group member will attend each session. The first session is scheduled for the 6th and is going to be at the Land between the Lakes; Jeff will be representing us on that one.

After the packets are received by KOHS we’ll do the same thing we did last year - I’ll go through and screen one hundred fifty plus packets and narrow them down to fifty something for the Public Safety Working Group. They will then evaluate and provide recommendations. We are completing this ahead of the Grant Cycles so it will be much better this year than last.

3) Develop a funding support plan to provide for the maintenance and Technical upgrades for the public safety shared infrastructure -

- a) The KWIEC Funding Initiatives Workgroup was created to address this mandate

Col Milligan is not with us today. He is still looking for two additional members for the Funding Initiatives Workgroup.

KWIEC Goals for 2009:

- 1. Merge the Architecture and Standards Working Group and the Public Safety Working Group and increase the PSWG responsibilities – this is Complete.**
- 2. Charter an Infrastructure Funding Committee – this is Complete.**
- 3. Complete the Eastern portion of the KEWS Digital upgrade project. – This is ongoing.**
- 4. Identify immediate needs state level wireless communication projects which are unfunded. – We know that the broadband wireless project was a big project everyone was interested in, and others are still being looked at by the PSWG. The vendor conference is tentative right now but Lonnie Lawson has agreed to sponsor the conference. We would ask vendors to come in sometime in the July/August time frame, to show us their stuff. This will help the PSWG to make recommendations once they have seen what's out there.**

Issues & Concerns:

These are just a couple of the issues we are dealing with; a couple of these have been resolved.

1. This is a long term issue we need to get resolved, no way to monitor the state network. An incredibly important thing we have got to get done.
2. The 911 director position is still pending; currently the legislative liaison from COT is working on that.
3. Reappoint KWIEC Members, We are pending a couple of people we need, I have given the email to Jim from Boards and Commissions, they have asked all members to continue to serve until you get your new appointments or are told otherwise. We lost Terry Lewis who resigned from the Association of Fire Chiefs; I have talked with Paul See to see if we could get some information on which direction to go.
4. We have defined the scope of the Funding Initiatives Work Group to Col Milligan, he understands what this group is asking him to do, very simple go find money.
5. The 2010 goals need to be adopted, that is pending today, those were sent out to this group Friday evening, and I hope you have had an opportunity to look at them. Toward the end of the meeting I hope one of you will make a motion to adopt those or we can revise them if needed.

Chuck asked if anyone had any questions or comments.

There were no questions so the floor was turned over to Derek to brief on the activities of the Public Safety Working Group.

Public Safety Working Group Update:

(Briefed by Derek Nesselrode)

- 1) ***Evaluate new technology technical solutions to planned projects*** - Chuck just touched base on our data pilot project that we're looking at. We're also looking at a vendor conference to coordinate information to be able to make recommendations on broadband augmentation to our existing statewide mobile data, and obviously our vendor reviews
- 2) ***Using SCIP as a source, begin efforts to create a long term plan which makes the eventual voice and data convergence of technology a priority*** - We are currently working on that plan, that's going to be an upcoming vendor conference that we're looking at, we're hoping to have some recommendations for you guys for that. What I have been doing in the mean time is collecting from other states with their statewide networks and how they fund those systems, I'll share that with you in the next coming months, that could possibly help our funding sub-committee and give them a good starting point at what they can start looking at.
- 3) ***Publish recommended minimum requirements for all radio systems*** - That is complete as far as mobiles go, its and ongoing task that we deal with every day.
- 4) ***Review the AEL for obvious misses to the minimum recommended standards.*** - I want to touch on this one a little bit. I asked Chuck why this keeps popping up, he said because I haven't asked him to take it off. Obviously we don't control the AEL; we utilize it as a tool to make recommendations to you guys for state and local projects. The AEL is a four thousand page Authorized Equipment list that we just use to review. Chuck if you wouldn't mind removing that from the list.
- 5) ***Maintain an inventory of State radio assets*** - I finally got our CASM system up and running, so we're not set up. I will be working with the PSWG to set up data, they have a lot of import/export functionality now , where we can utilize things like FCC licenses and other blanket excel spread sheets so we can enter this data in a mass instead of having to key punch every item in..

Jim asked: Derek is there a template that we can send out to folks to use so everyone will be importing the same thing?

Derek's response: There is, I'm working with the folks at OEC making sure that we capture all the data we want and that it gets captured into that one database. Also, I can't remember which board member asked if we could if we could utilize this data in our existing layers, I spoke to them, they said once we had the data collected it's our to use as we want to, so if we want to utilize it for in other applications, their trying to work with other software development and other folks to make sure it is exportable and friendly to other applications so we can utilize it on the state network or any other mapping resources that we had. We obviously want to start with state assets, and our UASI Region in Louisville, the old system is currently already in there, it will require some updating and tweaking. A lot of that information is readily available through Ron and our Lexington Metro area with our new member Paul. So we are going to start adding large regional systems in there and branch out, and start collection local data at that point.

- 1) **Conduct an annual review of State radio infrastructure - That's** ongoing; we currently have the list of deployable assets that Chief Barker worked to get together, we will try and keep that updated. We have put it into CASM. So all the deployable assets will be available as well.
- 2) **Recommend Projects to the KWIEC** - To this point we haven't made any quote unquote shovel ready project recommendations, but hopefully we catch something at this vendor conference that we're holding and get a demo kicked off.
- 3) **Conduct and annual review of the SCIP, make recommendations for changes, and provide a report back to the KWIEC** - As Chuck touched base on; the OEC would like an update in July 2010 for submission, I think it will be minimal but I want to make sure we're reflective on the things that have gone on between January when it was approved and the July time frame when it's up for review. Between now and then, OEC will be coming to Louisville to work on our goal number one, so that will be some check marks we can take off the SCIP, to reflect that we're moving in that direction.

Mary Pedersen asked: Derek you were on the annual SCIP review, and we have a couple of different reports that we do for the Federal Government. Are they going to put it together again in some sort of template where that draws from our previous SCIP and they import? Where we go in a portal to modify it? Have they said how they are going to do it? Because if not our 2010 is still going to be 07 information.

Derek's response: That remains to be seen, they have requested to come down in April and have a goal briefing, and review our goals and objectives. I have told them April is not a good time, everybody is pretty busy, it's hard to schedule that stuff. I recommend that we do it after the Derby; number one everyone's calendars look a lot better and number two, anything that we're doing with the Derby toward those goals and objectives will be complete short of follow up paper work and gather information. So at that time we can have those discussions and see if they're going to have those templates or if we just have to draw a roadmap back to reference those goals and objectives in the SCIP.

- 4) **Provide periodic briefings to the KWIEC as required** - We do that every quarter.

Derek asked if there were any other questions.

Mary asked: I just want to mention, we talked about this when we did our communications table top exercise with OEC. One of our goals was to create a state level TICP, which we're not there yet. The good news is the CASM tool. We're populating it with our inventory which now automatically populates into the Federal template for a TICP. So now without having to go in there and manually enter in all that information, we have a conversion that is automated. There is a huge component in that and I was pleased to have it. They would love to come down in April as well, but we're kind of pushing back. It's my understanding that normally where it could take months and months and you never accomplish the creation of the state TICP that with their technical assistance, the same group that came in for the exercise, and that's coming in for the NECP goal one, once we have the CASM tool close to populated, they can come in and in about a two day working session, we will have a state TICP which is an amazingly short amount of time. I volunteer to work with you on that after May 2011.

Bob Stephens: I believe TICP stands for Technical Interoperability Communication Plan

Jim Barnhart: Are there any questions for the Old Business?

With there being none, Jim moved the meeting to new business.

New Business

Jim asked Derek to continue with his P-25 Roadmap Draft presentation.

P-25 Roadmap

(Briefed by Derek Nesselrode)

Derek Nesselrode: What is being passed around is a flow chart that Chuck and Jeff put together at the request of a few of the Committee members that wanted to know the approval process on this P25 initiative. We tried to lay it out in paragraph form and reference it back to the 2010 grant guidance. This is what our considerations would be for a P25 or non P25 project. It starts off there at the top and asks all the important questions. I think it's been tested and it's a good document to give you an idea of what the thought process when they are being reviewed.

Jeff Mitchell: To make this simpler, we're probably going to make these two flow charts, one for state agency's one for locals.

Derek Nesselrode: If you would review that and if you have any questions contact us.

Jim Barnhart: Chuck will you please make the Document available to the people on the conference bridge. (*Chuck nodded*)

Jeff Mitchell: With us going out next week with the grants team to talk to locals about the grants process. If you would please let us know quickly if you have any changes that you see that needs to take place, so we will not be passing out information that you all don't agree with.

Derek Nesselrode: The second form that is going around is the KWIEC digital road map for Project 25 and narrowband compliance. Obviously the first date you see on there are actual dates; thing that have happened. The second one is just a goal or objective of ours, to complete the State Asset Inventory with assistance of CASM. Hopefully some outreach will take place. The 2013 narrow banding mandate for twelve and a half Khz, that's a firm date. Beyond that I just want to point out that Chuck was just throwing some dates out there to give some speculation and start giving some though to some things that will be coming down the pipe. If you look on their January 2017, this may be a good time to start pushing six and a quarter ultra narrow band. At this point and time there has been no mandate for six and a quarter but we all recognize that is going to be the eventuality of the local communications and wireless systems. January 2020 would be a good time to target all new systems to be IP based digital six and a quarter. Obviously a lot of us looked down the road to see that is the future with communications technology. We're looking at IP based voice converged voice and data system. At this point and time I think it's premature to put a spot on the map, but these are the things we're going to have to start considering in the near future as we collect a lot of this data. I would say recommendations and food for thought forth coming on state wide radio data systems and voice systems will be the future six and a quarter technology.

Mary Pedersen: Derek I have a question looking at this 2013 narrow banding deadline. Realistically when you look at timing and grant cycles, we're already into 2010, the money doesn't usually come till near the end of the year, so we only have a couple of grant cycles left and do we know how many systems state wide, not only state systems, but local systems that are going to have to be possible totally replaced or at least partially to get them narrow banded in a very short window? The 2012 money will be too late to accomplish that.

Derek Nesselrode: That is one of the reasons why our recommendation was to give priority to these grant request for communications equipment that required narrow band updates. A lot of the systems I would say, the vast majority of the systems in the Commonwealth are already narrow banded compliant or narrow band capable. There may be some reengineering necessary, some reprogramming and some administrative cost. The bulk of them will be done, but these systems where their infrastructure is still wide band and a lot of them have purchased new portables and new end user equipment we don't have a firm number, that is one of the reason we wanted to send someone out with the Homeland Security grants is to educate people and say look at your infrastructure, if you are not narrow banded compliant you have to make concessions for funding for replacement of that system. Luckily a lot of those systems are signal repeater networks and smaller city or county systems. We have put a link on the KWIEC website for narrow banding; we're trying to add some resources to that, so when we go out to lay out exactly a check list for the agency to insure they know their narrow band compliant.

Mary Pedersen: Thinking back to the whole federal government push, where they did two or two and a half years of marketing for digital conversion and how many people still didn't do it, or last minute. We still going to have some who will say they didn't know. It's good that you all are going out, I think the committee has had a goal of outreach. I was going back to the P-25, I have already gotten a call from someone about P-25 and having a visit from the vendor and telling them they don't need P-25. There is no standard. We're starting to get a lot of calls; it's a hard standard to explain when their getting misinformation about it.

Derek Nesselrode: I think a big part of it is going out and doing this outreach and at least providing them with some information were they can find data and pointing them back to the KWIEC web site that will answer a lot to questions.

Jim Barnhart: When do you think you be able to start the outreach?

Derek Nesselrode: The grant workshops start the 6th.

Jim Barnhart: So pretty quick, there is a chance in 2012 the inventory could be moved up.

Derek Nesselrode: That's not going to happen, what we're banking on here with the CASM inventory, state wide assets will be gathered and populated immediately, so those will be readily available, so that will happen fairly quickly. What I'm relaying on for local assets is outreach and staging this regional governance body that OEC is pushing for. Part of NECP goals two and three are to demonstrate outreach and pull data and information from the county level and demonstrate their response capabilities and their operability capabilities at the county level through the state agency and back up to the federal level. It's going to take time and resources and some doing, but that is definitely not an overnight process.

Jim Barnhart: And then keeping it updated. The whole thing is communications, and how do you continue and get the information out that touches everybody, we have the KWIEC web site and everything else, but how do you get the word out and continue.

Derek Nesselrode: It's a nightmare. I think the key is establishing these regional bodies and keeping an ongoing line of communication between these regional bodies and the Public Safety Working Group. As long as we have a mechanism to gather the information I think we'll be in good shape, the key is putting that together. Obviously the key is maintaining those data bases, keeping the information accurate, the Louisville group was the first one to test the CASM device or software, they put their system in it, not they have a new system, so much of it out there is outdated, we have a challenge to keep it managed and updated.

Mary Pederson: I have another question, with respect to the tool, as we're gathering information, the larger state and larger local networks, what is the axis to the information. There's always: If I provide information for my county or interoperable region, for example will I be able to access then access CASM' s to see my stuff or will it be open state wide, have those security administrative rights been established yet?

Derek Nesselrode: As it stands now I and Ron are the only two authorized on the System. As we move forward we will define each agency role or each regions' role as we go region approach and decide what they have control of what they can do, what they can enter, what they can change. It's all definable by the administrator which at this point is me.

D-Block Update

(Briefed by Bob Stephens)

Bob Stephens: I will try and say this as simple as I can. Back in 2000 the FCC tried to action block A, B, C and D. The big Telco's auction of about Seventeen Billion Dollars worth of auctions went on at the time, for A, B, and C, however block D which had a one point seven billion dollar reserve was not auctioned. It was not auctioned because this block has been identified to be used for broadband data for public safety. Let me read you this, it ties it up, it says: *James Barnett, Chief of the FCC, Public Safety group and Homeland Security told lawyers on Friday after speaking to state and local officials who want D block for public safety use, that the FCC could issue a notice of inquiry in early summer, but a final decision has not been made. The auction which is planned for commercial purposes could take place the first or second quarter of 2011.*

For those of you who are into 3G, 4G, Edge, these data standards on the devices we carry, Black Berry's, I-phone's, what we're talking about is a network with a little bit more G. And there's another acronym I've learned this morning, LTE, means Long Term Evolution, I've seen it a hundred times never knew what it was. This is what this network is going to go, there talking about taking thousands of cell towers and adding a public safety piece, combining the antennas, and have a public, private partnership. In 2008 we lobbied that public safety should get this, FCC just go away, let us take it, we had identify that we wanted to start a pilot using whatever the standard was at the time. But the block D, the frequencies, the band width we need to do this is still not available to us. Now we come along to the congress, this is the final piece to this, the FCC officials ask congress to fund the Emergency Preparedness Network, which can cost between \$12-\$16 billion to build and operate over a ten year period. Public Safety workers have already been allocated one eighth of the seven hundred megahertz band spectrum which was vacated by the broadcasters during the digital television transition. But that's all for voice and very narrow bandwidth. The FCC also plans to reallocate a larger portion of spectrum including some broadcasters to wireless companies anticipating storage as more Americans surf the internet on their mobile devices. The FCC is going to try to auction again, their also going to try to parlay this into congress funding, maybe the equipment piece of this, the infrastructure piece of this, however the bottom line is what this probably means for Kentucky at some point in the future even when they fill this system there will be a subscription fee when you buy a mobile phone. That is my opinion and I want to make that clear. Derek was talking about the convergence of voice and data, an IP system and we'll be using the device, some sort of wireless device. Ultimately if this comes into effect and we get both cell data, conventional AT&T, Verizon, Sprint, whoever, and we add this public safety piece the government may end up paying for it, but we will pay monthly for this service, that is what they said "It will be paid for

by client". That's where we are, nothing that requires any decision. The internet is packed full of news article to what this is. There is a good bit of money at stake here. My suggestion for the Group is we have to sit and watch, I think our opinion counts, but ultimately the folks that have the big bucks will probably make the decision.

Mary Pedersen: So Bob - I read that in detail too but there was a lot in every paragraph. It was always at the end and they called it a reasonable fee for everything, not only the subscription fee monthly. Whichever vendor bid won the auction would be required to develop a specialized device made available at a reasonable fee. Twelve to sixteen billion as you said that is just the infrastructure; that seems kind of low. When I read it I thought I don't know if states can afford it. We're talking about everybody making sure you have a narrow band radio and now your radio has to be P-25 compliant, and now you have to buy this, at a reasonable fee. This is potentially going to take the place of all that and when?

Bob Stephens: Driving that we have a NECP (National Emergency Communications Plan), and then we have the SCIP that feeds this National Communications Plan, and now the FCC has created the National Broadband Public System. The Document itself is ninety something pages, the summary is twenty seven.

Charlie O'Neal: Bob, how is the deployment of this system going to impact the current local data system that we have in Kentucky? Is it going to make it absolutely obsolete?

Bob Stephen: In my opinion, probably yes. Because, Mobile data was being tailored by working on towers, some of the towers might be our own if we work it right. We're talking 2020.

Charlie O'Neal: Next question; how much emphases do we need to continue to put on pulling out the empty piece if is going to be obsolete and continue to invest money infrastructure that we may or may not be able to utilize in the future, or may not want to utilize in the future.

Bob Stephen: I'm speaking for our group; this goes back to our desire to look at a broadband data project pilot. To me this is the natural evolution of broadband data; it's just ones and zeros out there in the Fire Truck, Police vehicle, or Emergency Operations Center, whatever it is.

Derek Nesselrode: Obviously there has to be a migration plan from the current narrowband data standards that will incorporate and augmentation of the system on a limited basis. I don't think anyone expects us to be able cover the entire state with broadband data at any time in the near future, or ever. But what we're looking at is this migration plan for augmentation and that is what we're going to start doing this summer and we'll just have to wait and see how this 700 MHz public/private network impacts it. I'm sure there is going to be many others that will jump long before we do, to try to take this on their own to cover their entire state or large metro areas with LTE or some other technology, but I think as far as 700Mhz goes I think it's best to sit back for a little while and take it all in and see what direction it goes.

There were no questions for Bob so he turned the floor back to Chuck.

Open Discussion and Questions & Answers

Chuck Miller: This is the point we we're going to open the floor to any visitors. We still have one more thing to cover, the 2010 goals, which we can cover afterward.

Ray Johnson, Cynthia, and Harrison County: We're looking to go to digital next year. The person we're talking to told us we didn't have to be P-25 compliant. They told us they could get us funding but it wouldn't be through Homeland Security. I'm here to find out if that is true or not. We told this individual that we thought we had to be P-25, they said this wasn't the case.

Chuck Miller: Will you be using Federal Funds, or you own local funds?

Ray Johnson: They just advised us that they were able to get funds outside the Homeland Security grant. But I noticed a bullet in here that said any federal funds or Homeland Security funds the system would have to be P-25.

Chuck Miller: If it's a brand new system.

Ray Johnson: It is a brand new system, we have nothing but analog now.

Chuck Miller: If you receive Federal Funds then the OEC guidance is what this group voted to endorse and follow on February 4th. If the system has not been deployed then in order to be compliant, it has to deploy with P-25 digital equipment unless you choose to go analog only. That is the only alternative; there's P-25 digital or analog for new systems.

Ray Johnson: You said to meet the standards of this group. Is that law or recommendations?

Wayne Wright: We only make recommendation (local), but for State (agencies) it's mandatory.

Chuck Miller: Only with Federal Funds, if you get money from the government this is the way we want you to go. Can I ask you where the funding is coming from?

Ray Johnson: They didn't disclose.

Janet Lile: We can supply them (Ray Johnson) a copy of the OEC guidance.

Brad Bates: Chuck the last meeting this came up, the US Department of Justice and the Department of Homeland Security both agreed that they would require all sub grantees, COPS Technology, JAG, BURN all those have to follow the OEC Report. That is not traditional the way it had been before, you could get COPS Technology money and some other area's that was not Homeland Security and not have to follow this OEC guide or any guidance from Homeland Security. But we were instructed recently the feds have decided in totality that any federally funded program for communications equipment, no matter if it was out of DHS or Justice it, would have to be P-25 which was a change with what they have done previously.

Wayne Wright: Bourbon County just got fifty I heard today, I'm curious to see if they put that requirement on them.

Lonnie Lawson: There is a little bit of inaccuracy out there. You still have that little bit of broadband money out there that's going through NTIA and RUS and neither one of those are actually the public safety portion set aside. Neither one of those are actually required stipulation. I do not know anyone at this point that received any of that, but they certainly do have the money set aside.

Chuck Miller: I wasn't aware of that.

Jim Barnhart: Lonnie is that public safety?

Lonnie Lawson: Yes that portion is set aside for Public Safety.

Chuck Miller: When I spoke to the OEC they were pretty hard on P-25, these other funds had nothing to do with that.

Are there any other questions or comments from the floor?

Other Business

Jim Barnhart: We doing some things for the Rolex. If anybody has anything for this event please let us know. I've attended some events for the frequency coordination and I hope someone has a handle on all the frequency coordination. I have made some calls on who is going to police it, etc.

Derek Nesselrode: We realize it's going to be a complete and utter nightmare for probable a month. Myself, I am primarily responsible for interior applications on the games. I have been in close communications with Chief Barker whose primary responsibility is the exit strategy if you will, and the exterior communications. Our partners for Lexington - we have been coordinating with those guys for outside the game events. It was slow going at the start but things are really coming together now. Out of necessity, every time we turn around there are four or five more agencies coming on board with the Federal Government.

Jim Barnhart: I attended a meeting last week and they said if anything happens we will have our cell phone and I told them they will not be able to use those.

Derek Nesselrode: Right. One of the key missing pieces was the actual event folks, the civilian coordination part of the planning. I think they finally got their radio communications vendor or board and I'm supposed to meet with them next week. I have a draft communication plan and I'll be working with Chief Barker on his part of outside the games plan as well as Lexington. If you all would like I will have a generic channel plan and I can share that with the Committee.

Jim Barnhart: Has Sherry Kingman (Spelling) been in contact with you?

Derek Nesselrode: I have spoken with her.

Jim Barnhart: She says she's doing it all.

Derek Nesselrode: There's a vendor obviously. I will have a face to face meeting with whoever got their contract for their communication system, for all of their busses, transportation and security. There is a whole lot going on behind the scenes.

Janet Lile: Chief Barker and you all can probably invite any one you all wanted to for the Table Top exercise.

Bob Stephens: We have been doing exercises on the consequence side not the law enforcement side. We have been exercising bits and pieces of things that could go wrong. The next Table Top we're doing is the 9th; we're hopeful that we got a real heavy duty law enforcement piece to where we get to stare at each other and if something happens, how we react to it. Stealing some of these guys thunder, we're doing a communications exercise on site during the Rolex, a major rehearsal trying to get them together. It will be the slowest day possible. All the equipment will be on place to create the least amount of issues during the event. The Horse Park is really sensitive with all these command vehicles just show up.

Jim asked if there were any other upcoming events or any further discussion. Chuck needed to cover the recommended goals for 2010.

2010 Goals

(Briefed by Chuck Miller)

Chuck briefed the revised recommended goals for 2010. They were sent out to the KWIEC via email for review.

1. Endorse a public safety communications standard for digital voice in the commonwealth.
2. Encourage/push for a public safety broadband data pilot project
3. Complete the Eastern portion of the KEWS network.
4. Establish trained regional interoperability groups.

Chuck sent these revised goals to the KWIEC after meeting with the PSWG on Friday for review. The first three goals are exactly the same as was previously sent out and recommended. Only goal four had changed as recommended by the PSWG. Chuck thought that retaining the first three goals was important since the group had worked so hard toward accomplishing them.

We have actually accomplished goals 1 and 2, and we hope to accomplish goal 3 this year. Goal 4 is the goal that the Public Safety Working Group has recommended that we change and asked this group to adopt. Derek has already touched on this and has been an issue to this group with expressed concern in the past. The reasoning behind this is that other than try to get one or two people out there to run over one hundred and twenty counties, we're trying the concept of train-the-trainer to get the regional groups involved. Derek has already briefed it so I won't brief it again. Are there any comments?

There were no comments so Janet Lile made a motion that we accept the goals as stated. This was seconded and the KWIEC unanimously voted to accept the goals.

Adjournment & Closing Remarks

Jim asked if there were any other upcoming events or any further discussion.

Chuck said he had a comment for the Vendors concerning the vendor conference scheduled for August. The KWIEC website will be listing exactly what you need to do to participate in the Vendor Conference. This conference will allow vendors to show the PSWG their equipment/ideas for augmenting the Mobile Data network. The PSWG will then make recommendation to the KWIEC. There's going to be a process with a small application that you'll fill out. Derek will sign your approval to participate since we only want vendors that can augment the system like we need to. So look to the KWIEC web site please.

Derek reminded members that when he scheduled the OEC meeting that it will be the end of May when they come to visit. The primary audience will be PSWG members but it would also put out an open invitation to the KWIEC. We're going to try to curtail it as opposed to the last time they came in; I told them we didn't want an all day meeting with breakouts. We just want a review of the road map to meet the NECP goals and an overview briefing of what they are and their expectations of OEC. I will make sure to facilitate that through Chuck.

Chuck reminded the KWIEC members that Jeff Mitchell has asked this group to review the documents he passed out (P-25 flowchart and roadmap) and to get them back to him before the 6th. After that he would be conducting regional briefings and doing the local agency outreach. Chuck asked members to please view this as an action item.

Final comments - the next KWIEC meeting will be scheduled for mid to late June.

With no further discussion, a motion, second, and vote adjourned the meeting.